

1 judgment on [plaintiff's] Clayton Act . . . claim for equitable relief.” *Id.* For the foregoing reasons and
2 for good cause shown, the Court concludes that plaintiff has standing to assert his Clayton Act claims,
3 and DENIES defendants’ motion for summary judgment.

4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: April 10, 2007



8 SUSAN ILLSTON
9 United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

Petroleum, N.V. v. Graves, 709 F.2d 593, 595 (9th Cir. 1983). Furthermore, in deposition plaintiff offered no more than a vague plan “in my head” to do some future advertising in Bay Area newspapers. *See generally* Scarborough Decl., Ex. A (Reilly Dep.) at 180-183. Plaintiff therefore does not have standing as a consumer of newspaper advertising space.